

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,663	06/20/2001	Ralph W. Heim	033449-500-CI	4897
27805	7590 08/13/2002			
THOMPSON HINE L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
2000 COURTHOUSE PLAZA, N.E. 10 WEST SECOND STREET DAYTON, OH 45402		GORDON, STEPHEN	ТЕРНЕМ Т	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ention No.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/885,663

Applicant(s)

Heim et al

Examiner

Stephen Gordon

Art Unit 3612



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing date of this communication.					
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	nis communication, even if tenery filed, may reduce any				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	···································				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act	ion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
_					
5) L Claim(s)					
6) Claim(s)					
7) 🗆 Claim(s)					
8) X Claims 1-48 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) \square The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
-					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				
_	-				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-19, drawn to a method of transporting, classified in class 414, subclass 809.
 - II. Claim 20, drawn to a combination method of transporting and unloading, classified in class 414, subclass 806.
 - III. Claim 21, drawn to a combination method of transporting, uncoupling, and locating on a carrier, classified in class 414, subclass 806.
 - IV. Claims 25-31 and 32, drawn to a transport trailer apparatus, classified in class 280, subclass 400+.
 - V. Claims 33-39, drawn to a subcombination method of preparing a vehicle for loading, classified in class 414, subclass 800.
 - VI. Claims 40-48, drawn to a vehicle transport module, classified in class 410, subclass 4+.
 - VII. Claims 22-24, drawn to a combination method of loading and transport involving trailer lifting/pivoting, classified in class 414, subclass 814+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by

Art Unit: 3612

itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least driving vehicles into the module is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a storage application at a site not requiring further transport.

- 3. Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least driving vehicles from an adjacent external surface is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a storage application at a site not requiring further transport.
- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one not requiring lifting means (claim 25+) per se and/or a coupling for lifting (claim 32).
- 5. Inventions I and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has

Application/Control Number: 09/885,663

Art Unit: 3612

utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least lifting means and pivoting of the load vehicle are not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in preparing a transport for loading of fork-lift loaded pallets.

Page 4

- 6. Inventions I and VI are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method as recited could be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one not requiring sidewall openings per se.
- 7. Inventions VII and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least driving multiple vehicles per se is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a storage application at a site not requiring further transport.
- 8. Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has

Art Unit: 3612

utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least driving vehicles to an external surface is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a system not requiring a further carrier as such.

- 9. Inventions II and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one not requiring lifting means (claim 25+) per se and/or a coupling for lifting (claim 32).
- 10. Inventions II and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least lifting means and pivoting of the load vehicle are not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in preparing a transport for loading of fork-lift loaded pallets.
- 11. Inventions II and VI are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice

Art Unit: 3612

another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method as recited could be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one not requiring sidewall openings per se.

- 12. Inventions II and VII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least pivoting of the chassis per se is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in an application not requiring driving unloading (e.g. storage a distant site etc.).
- 13. Inventions III and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one not requiring lifting means (claim 25+) per se and/or a coupling for lifting (claim 32).
- 14. Inventions III and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination

Art Unit: 3612

3

as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least lifting means and pivoting of the load vehicle are not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in preparing a transport for loading of fork-lift loaded pallets.

- 15. Inventions III and VI are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as recited could be used to practice another and materially different method such as one involving transport via ship.
- 16. Inventions III and VII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least pivoting of a chassis is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a system not requiring a further carrier as such..
- 17. Inventions V and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as

Art Unit: 3612

recited (claims 25+ and 32) could be practiced by another and materially different method such as one not requiring movement of wheels forwardly of an operating position as recited.

- 18. Inventions IV and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as use in preparing a transport for loading of fork-lift loaded pallets. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 19. Inventions VII and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one not requiring lifting means (claim 25+) per se and/or a hydraulic fifth wheel coupling for lifting (claim 32).
- 20. Inventions V and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention V has separate utility such as use in preparing a transport for loading of fork-lift loaded pallets. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 21. Inventions VII and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination

Art Unit: 3612

as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least moving of wheels forwardly of an operating position per se is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in preparing a transport for loading of fork-lift loaded pallets.

- 22. Inventions VII and VI are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method as recited could be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one not requiring sidewall openings per se.
- 23. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 24. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 25. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: module species of figure 1 vs figure 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 appears to be generic.

Art Unit: 3612

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

26. Due to the complexity of the above restriction/election, the requirement is being submitted to applicant in written form to allow ample time to address the issues raised herein.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

27. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

Art Unit: 3612

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2556.

stg

August 9, 2002

1 Dod-3/162

STEPHEN I. GUNDUN PEMARY EXAMINER